STUDENT PAPER AWARD REVIEW

SESSION:  
TIME:  
PAPER NO:  

CONTRIBUTOR:  
SESSION CHAIR:  

PAPER TITLE:  

Place an “X” in the box most closely approximates your evaluation of the speaker’s performance relative to the questions posted. Use the following scale: S = superb (10 pts); M = more than adequate (9 pts); A = adequate (6 pts); L = less than adequate (4 pts); or D = deficient (2 pts).

### Organization and Content:

- **Rate the adequacy of the introduction.**
- **Rate the transition from one point to another.**
- **Rate how well the speaker explained the purpose of the research, methods used, experiments performed, etc.**
- **Rate how well the conclusion summarized the presentation and directed attention to the main points.**

### Delivery:

- **Rate the clarity of the presentation of the ideas and concepts in the session.**
- **Rate the clarity and quality of the slides.**
- **Rate the audibility of voice.**
- **Rate eye contact.**
- **Rate the “presence” of the speaker.**

### Non-Scored Comments?

- **Rate the amount of information presented.**
  - [ ] Too much  
  - [ ] OK  
  - [ ] Too little  
- **Rate the speed of the presentation.**
  - [ ] Too much  
  - [ ] OK  
  - [ ] Too little  
- **What was the best feature of the delivery of the paper?**  

- **What was the worst feature of the delivery of the paper?**  

- **What was the best feature of the organization and content of the paper?**  

- **How can the speaker improve the organization and content of future presentations?**

### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

TOTAL SCORE:  

REVIEWER:  
DATE:  

CONTRIBUTOR: