STUDENT PAPER AWARD REVIEW

SESSION:						TIME: PAPER NO:	
CONTRIBUTOR:							
SESSION CHAIR:							
PAPER TITLE:							
Place an "X" in the box most closely approximates your evaluation of the speaker's performance relative to the questions posted. Use the following scale: S = superb (10 pts); M = more than adequate (9 pts); A = adequate (6 pts); L= less than adequate (4 pts); or D = deficient (2 pts).							
Organization and Content:							
	S	М	Α	L	D		
1.						Rate the adequacy of the introduction.	
2.						Rate the transition from one point to another.	
3.						Rate how well the speaker explained the purpose of the research, methods used, experiments performed, etc.	
4.						Rate how well the conclusion summarized the presentation and directed attention to the main points.	
Delivery:							
5.						Rate the clarity of the presentation of the ideas and concepts in the session.	
6.						Rate the clarity and quality of the slides.	
7.						Rate the audibility of voice.	
8.						Rate eye contact.	
9.						Rate the "presence" of the speaker.	
Non-Scored Comments?							
10. Rate the amount of information presented.							
	Too much OK Too little						
11.	11. Rate the speed of the presentation.						
	Too much OK Too little						
12.	12. What was the best feature of the delivery of the paper?						
13.	13. What was the worst feature of the delivery of the paper						
14. What was the best feature of the organization and content of the paper?							
15.	15. How can the speaker improve the organization and content of future presentations?						
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: TOTAL SCORE:							
REVIEWER: DATE:							