## STUDENT POSTER AWARD REVIEW

CONTRIBUTOR POSTER TITLE: Awards Fellowships Com					
Awards Fellowships Com					
•	mittee contact:				
CRITERIA:	RATING (POINTS)				
Un	nsatisfactory (0 pts)	Fair (5 pts)	Satisfactory (10 pts)	Good (15 pts)	Excellent (20 pts)
Abstract:					
Presentation / Content					
Level of Understanding					
Originality:					
Overall Quality:					
			Т	OTAL SCORE:	
EXPLANATION OF CRIT	FRIA				
Abstract: A	ccurate synopsis		n content; objectives, tly to relate to conclus		
	Clear, logical and concise. Text sufficient to explain illustration and to state objectives and conclusions, but not excessive. Ability to respond to questions.				
	Clearly stated objectives and conclusions, data adequate, analysis and summaries appropriate; illustrations appropriate, understandable, informative.				
	Presentation of bagrasp of the scien		upporting information i study.	ndicate that the stu	ident has a strong
	New technique, instrument, or idea; new contribution to knowledge, evidently originating with the student.				
Overall Quality: F	Poster / Platform appropriate methodology and interpretation of results, conconculsiveness.				
ADDITIONAL COMMENT	ΓS (e.g., sugges	ted areas of imp	provement, most imp	ressive aspects.)	