## CRITERIA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unsatisfactory (0 pts)</th>
<th>Fair (5 pts)</th>
<th>Satisfactory (10 pts)</th>
<th>Good (15 pts)</th>
<th>Excellent (20 pts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Abstract:              | □            | □                     | □             | □                 | □                  |
| Presentation / Content | □            | □                     | □             | □                 | □                  |
| Level of Understanding | □            | □                     | □             | □                 | □                  |
| Originality:           | □            | □                     | □             | □                 | □                  |
| Overall Quality:       | □            | □                     | □             | □                 | □                  |

### EXPLANATION OF CRITERIA

**Abstract:**
Accurate synopsis of poster/platform content; objectives, results, and conclusions clearly states; methods described sufficiently to relate to conclusions; title a good index to content.

**Presentation/Content:**
Clear, logical and concise. Text sufficient to explain illustration and to state objectives and conclusions, but not excessive. Ability to respond to questions.

**Content:**
Clearly stated objectives and conclusions, data adequate, analysis and summaries appropriate; illustrations appropriate, understandable, informative.

**Level of Understanding:**
Presentation of background and supporting information indicate that the student has a strong grasp of the science to the area of study.

**Originality:**
New technique, instrument, or idea; new contribution to knowledge, evidently originating with the student.

**Overall Quality:**
Poster / Platform appropriate methodology and interpretation of results, concnclusiveness.

### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (e.g., suggested areas of improvement, most impressive aspects.)
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